

## Minutes – QUALITY STANDARDS WORKING GROUP

|                         |   |
|-------------------------|---|
| <b>Meeting location</b> | Meliá Milano, Via Masaccio 19, Milan  |
| <b>Meeting date</b>     | 9 <sup>th</sup> September 2017  |
| <b>Meeting time</b>     | 07.30-08.10   |
| <b>Chair</b>            | Nicolas Roche   |
| <b>Attendees:</b>       | Nicholas Roche<br>Catherine Hutton<br>Naomi Launders<br>Sarah Lucas<br>Kathryn Brown  |
| <b>Objective</b>        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide an update on the Working group progress to date</li> <li>• Comments on the 2 main papers</li> <li>• Set priorities for future research/actions/publications and discuss the feasibility and funding</li> </ul> |

### Minutes:

|          |  |
|----------|--|
| <b>1</b> | <p><b>Discussion of the 2 main papers</b></p> <p><b>Paper 1</b><br/>Focus: Overview of the task force group<br/>Status: First draft due to be circulated for comment.</p> <p><b>Paper 2</b><br/>Focus: Development of the tool and link to (and differentiation from) other work in this area<br/>Status: First draft almost complete</p> <p><b>Paper 3</b><br/>Focus: Results of literature review<br/>Status: On hold until we determine if needed following publication of paper 1</p>              |
| <b>2</b> | <p><b>Priorities for future research, actions, publications, promotion, implementation</b> (approx. 15 mins):</p> <p>Findings could be made into a score but the feasibility and relevance would need to be discussed further.</p> <p>Is 3<sup>rd</sup> paper now needed, could all 3 be submitted to a journal.</p> <p>Raised whether for the 3<sup>rd</sup> paper we could use examples of the literature review to write an advocacy paper on the quality and benefits of “real world” studies.</p> |



3

### Actions

Circulate both papers taking into account all previous comments.

Submit 1 month from now to EAACI executive board. 2 -3 months assessment period for outcome.

Submit methodology and core papers - ask EAACI which journals would be best for submission. Target January - March for submission to a journal.

Finalise the tool, make it available on REG Website with link on EAACI.

Look into having a slot at EAACI and ERS.

Approach ERS Guidelines Committee to alert them that this tool exists

Tool to be proposed to other working groups at REG Summit and applied as a standard tool.

Formal REG approval too - IP needed for legality issues.

Working Group meeting to be held at REG summit.

Commented [NL1]: I think? If I've misremembered then feel free to delete!